

Dr. Guido Sterzenbach

Die adhäsive postendodontische Versorgung stark zerstörter Pfeilerzähne mit indirekten Restaurationen

- [1] Pak JG, Fayazi S, White SN: Prevalence of periapical radiolucency and root canal treatment: a systematic review of cross-sectional studies. *J Endod* 38 (9), 1170–6 (2012).
- [2] Hamedy R, et al: Prevalence of root canal treatment and periapical radiolucency in elders: a systematic review. *Gerodontology* (2014).
- [3] Saunders W, Saunders E: Coronal leakage as a cause of failure in root canal therapy: a review. *Endod Dent Traumatol* 10, 105–8 (1994).
- [4] Ng YL, et al: Outcome of primary root canal treatment: systematic review of the literature – Part 2. Influence of clinical factors. *Int Endod J* 41 (1), 6–31 (2008).
- [5] Hommez GM, Coppens CR, De Moor RJ: Periapical health related to the quality of coronal restorations and root fillings. *Int Endod J* 35 (8), 680–9 (2002).
- [6] Gillen BM, et al: Impact of the quality of coronal restoration versus the quality of root canal fillings on success of root canal treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endod* 37 (7), 895–902 (2011).
- [7] Schwartz RS, Robbins JW: Post placement and restoration of endodontically treated teeth: a literature review. *J Endod* 30 (5), 289–301 (2004).
- [8] Tang W, Wu Y, Smales RJ: Identifying and reducing risks for potential fractures in endodontically treated teeth. *J Endod* 36 (4), 609–17 (2010).
- [9] Aurelio IL, et al: Are posts necessary for the restoration of root filled teeth with limited tissue loss? A structured review of laboratory and clinical studies. *Int Endod J*, (2015).
- [10] Krishan R, et al: Impacts of conservative endodontic cavity on root canal instrumentation efficacy and resistance to fracture assessed in incisors, premolars, and molars. *J Endod* 40 (8), 1160–6 (2014).
- [11] Asundi A, Kishen A: Advanced digital photoelastic investigations on the tooth-bone interface. *J Biomed Opt* 6 (2), 224–30 (2001).
- [12] Lertchirakarn V, Palamara JE, Messer HH: Patterns of vertical root fracture: factors affecting stress distribution in the root canal. *J Endod* 29 (8), 523–8 (2003).
- [13] Wu MK, van der Sluis LW, Wesselink PR: Comparison of mandibular premolars and canines with respect to their resistance to vertical root fracture. *J Dent* 32 (4), 265–8 (2004).
- [14] Lang H, et al: Impact of endodontic treatments on the rigidity of the root. *J Dent Res* 85 (4), 364–8 (2006).
- [15] Reeh ES, Messer HH, Douglas WH: Reduction in tooth stiffness as a result of endodontic and restorative procedures. *J Endod* 15 (11), 512–6 (1989).
- [16] Kahler B, Swain MW, Moule A: Fracture-toughening mechanisms responsible for differences in work to fracture of hydrated and dehydrated dentine. *J Biomech* 36 (2), 229–37 (2003).
- [17] Kishen A, Ramamurty U, Asundi A: Experimental studies on the nature of property gradients in the human dentine. *J Biomed Mater Res* 51 (4), 650–9 (2000).
- [18] Kishen A, Kumar GV, Chen NN: Stress-strain response in human dentine: rethinking fracture predilection in postcore restored teeth. *Dent Traumatol* 20 (2), 90–100 (2004).
- [19] Tay FR, Pashley DH: Monoblocks in root canals: a hypothetical or a tangible goal. *J Endod* 33 (4), 391–8 (2007).
- [20] Ilie N, Simon A: Effect of curing mode on the micro-mechanical properties of dual-cured self-adhesive resin cements. *Clin Oral Investig* 16 (2), 505–12 (2012).
- [21] Zicari F, et al: Mechanical properties and micro-morphology of fiber posts. *Dent Mater* 29 (4), e45–52 (2013).
- [22] Juloski J, et al: Four-year Survival of Endodontically Treated Premolars Restored with

- Fiber Posts. *J Dent Res* 93 (7 suppl), 52S–58S (2014).
- [23] Naumann M, Preuss A, Frankenberger R: Load capability of excessively flared teeth restored with fiber-reinforced composite posts and all-ceramic crowns. *Oper Dent* 31 (6), 699–704 (2006).
- [24] Rehmann P, et al: Klinische Bewährung von Zähnen, die zur Verankerung einer Teilprothese mit Teleskopkronen versorgt wurden. *DZZ* 61 (12), 662–666 (2006).
- [25] Pjetursson BE, et al: Comparison of survival and complication rates of tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) and implant-supported FDPs and single crowns (SCs). *Clin Oral Implants Res* 18 (Suppl 3), 97–113 (2007).
- [26] Pjetursson BE, et al: A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of fixed partial dentures (FPDs) after an observation period of at least 5 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 15 (6), 667–76 (2004).
- [27] Adolph G, et al: Direct resin composite restorations in vital versus root-filled posterior teeth: a controlled comparative long-term follow-up. *Oper Dent* 32 (5), 437–42 (2007).
- [28] Scotti N, et al: Influence of adhesive techniques on fracture resistance of endodontically treated premolars with various residual wall thicknesses. *J Prosthet Dent* 110 (5), 376–82 (2013).
- [29] Mangold JT, Kern M: Influence of glass-fiber posts on the fracture resistance and failure pattern of endodontically treated premolars with varying substance loss: an in vitro study. *J Prosthet Dent* 105 (6), 387–93 (2011).
- [30] Salameh Z, et al: Fracture resistance and failure patterns of endodontically treated mandibular molars restored using resin composite with or without translucent glass fiber posts. *J Endod* 32 (8), 752–5 (2006).
- [31] Frankenberger R, et al: Effect of proximal box elevation with resin composite on marginal quality of ceramic inlays in vitro. *Clin Oral Investig* 17 (1), 177–83 (2013).
- [32] Ilgenstein I, et al: Influence of proximal box elevation on the marginal quality and fracture behavior of root-filled molars restored with CAD/CAM ceramic or composite onlays. *Clin Oral Investig* 19 (5), 1021–8 (2015).
- [33] Zaruba M, et al: Influence of a proximal margin elevation technique on marginal adaptation of ceramic inlays. *Acta Odontol Scand* 71 (2), 317–24 (2013).
- [34] Naumann M, et al: 10-year Survival Evaluation for Glass-fiber-supported Postendodontic Restoration: A Prospective Observational Clinical Study. *J Endod* 38 (4) 432–5 (2012).
- [35] Bitter K, et al: Randomized clinical trial comparing the effects of post placement on failure rate of postendodontic restorations: preliminary results of a mean period of 32 months. *J Endod* 35 (11), 1477–82 (2009).
- [36] Ferrari M, et al: A randomized controlled trial of endodontically treated and restored premolars. *J Dent Res* 91 (7 Suppl), 72S–78S (2012).
- [37] Signore A, et al: Clinical evaluation of an oval-shaped prefabricated glass fiber post in endodontically treated premolars presenting an oval root canal cross-section: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Prosthodont* 24 (3), 255–63 (2011).
- [38] Bateli M, et al: A retrospective evaluation of teeth restored with zirconia ceramic posts: 10-year results. *Clin Oral Investig* 18 (4) 1181–7 (2014).
- [39] Butz F, et al: Survival rate and fracture strength of endodontically treated maxillary incisors with moderate defects restored with different post-and-core systems: an in vitro study. *Int J Prosthodont* 14 (1), 58–64 (2001).
- [40] Mortazavi V, et al: Fracture resistance of structurally compromised and normal endodontically treated teeth restored with different post systems: An in vitro study. *Dent Res J (Isfahan)* 9 (2), 185–91 (2012).
- [41] Shetty PP, et al: A finite element analysis for a comparative evaluation of stress with two commonly used esthetic posts. *Eur J Dent* 7 (4), 419–22 (2013).
- [42] Kinney JH, Marshall SJ, Marshall GW: The mechanical properties of human dentin: a critical review and re-evaluation of the dental literature. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 14 (1), 13–29 (2003).
- [43] Dietschi D, et al: Biomechanical considerations for the restoration of endodontically

- treated teeth: a systematic review of the literature, Part II (Evaluation of fatigue behavior, interfaces, and in vivo studies). *Quintessence Int* 39 (2), 117–29 (2008).
- [44] Santos AF, et al: Can fiber posts increase root stresses and reduce fracture? *J Dent Res* 89 (6), 587–91 (2010).
- [45] Bolla M, et al: Root canal posts for the restoration of root filled teeth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, (2007). 1: p. CD004623.
- [46] Theodosopoulou JN, Chochlidakis KM: A systematic review of dowel (post) and core materials and systems. *J Prosthodont* 18 (6), 464–72 (2009).
- [47] Sterzenbach G, Franke A, Naumann M: Rigid versus Flexible Dentine-like Endodontic Posts-Clinical Testing of a Biomechanical Concept: Seven-year Results of a Randomized Controlled Clinical Pilot Trial on Endodontically Treated Abutment Teeth with Severe Hard Tissue Loss. *Journal of Endodontics* 38 (12), 1557–63 (2012).
- [48] Naumann M, Blankenstein F, Dietrich T: Survival of glass fibre reinforced composite post restorations after 2 years-an observational clinical study. *J Dent* 33 (4), 305–012 (2005).
- [49] Schmitter M, et al: Influence of clinical baseline findings on the survival of 2 post systems: a randomized clinical trial. *Int J Prosthodont* 20 (2), 173–8 (2007).
- [50] Monticelli F, et al: Clinical behavior of translucent-fiber posts: a 2-year prospective study. *Int J Prosthodont* 16 (6), 593–6 (2003).
- [51] Naumann M, et al: Survival of glass fiber post restorations over 5 years. *Am J Dent* 21 (4), 267–72 (2008).
- [52] Schmitter M, Hamadi K, Rammelsberg P: Survival of two post systems--five-year results of a randomized clinical trial. *Quintessence Int* 42 (10), 843–50 (2011).
- [53] Juloski J, et al: Ferrule effect: a literature review. *J Endod* 38 (1), 11–9 (2012).
- [54] Sterzenbach G, et al: Loading standardization of postendodontic restorations in vitro: impact of restorative stage, static loading, and dynamic loading. *Oper Dent* 37 (1), 71–9 (2012).
- [55] Samran A, El Bahra S, Kern M: The influence of substance loss and ferrule height on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated premolars. An in vitro study. *Dent Mater* 29 (12), 1280–6 (2013).
- [56] Sterzenbach G, et al: Increased tooth mobility because of loss of alveolar bone support: a hazard for zirconia two-unit cantilever resin-bonded FDPs in vitro? *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 102 (2), 244–9 (2014).
- [57] Tay FR, et al: Geometric factors affecting dentin bonding in root canals: a theoretical modeling approach. *J Endod* 31 (8), 584–9 (2005).
- [58] Perdigao J, Gomes G, Augusto V: The effect of dowel space on the bond strengths of fiber posts. *J Prosthodont* 16 (3), 154–64 (2007).
- [59] Mjör IA, et al: The structure of dentine in the apical region of human teeth. *Int Endod J* 34 (5), 346–53 (2001).
- [60] Bitter K, et al: Morphological and bond strength evaluation of different resin cements to root dentin. *Eur J Oral Sci* 117 (3), 326–33 (2009).
- [61] Bitter K, et al: Reliability of fiber post bonding to root canal dentin after simulated clinical function in vitro. *Oper Dent* 37 (4), 397–405 (2012).
- [62] Sterzenbach G, et al: Fiber post placement with core build-up materials or resin cements-an evaluation of different adhesive approaches. *Acta Odontol Scand* 70 (5), 368–76 (2012).
- [63] Sarkis-Onofre R, et al: The Role of Resin Cement on Bond Strength of Glass-fiber Posts (GFPs) Luted Into Root Canals: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of In Vitro Studies. *Oper Dent*, (2013).
- [64] Bitter K, et al: Various irrigation protocols for final rinse to improve bond strengths of fiber posts inside the root canal. *Eur J Oral Sci* 121 (4), 349–54 (2013).
- [65] Bitter K, et al: Do chlorhexidine and ethanol improve bond strength and durability of adhesion of fiber posts inside the root canal? *Clin Oral Investig* 18 (3), 927–34 (2014).