

Konventionelle versus digitale Abformung

- [1] Pfeiffer, J., Dental CAD/CAM technologies: the optical impression (I). *Int J Comput Dent*, 1998. 1(1): p. 29–33.
- [2] Reich, S., S. wolfart, and T. Vollborn, Die optische intraorale Anformung – vier Systeme im Überblick, *Dtsch zahnärztl Z* 2012.67:p 177–189.
- [3] Mehl, A., et al., Accuracy testing of a new introral 3D camera. *Int J Comput Dent*, 2009. 12(1):p. 11–28.
- [4] Reich, S., et al., Digitale Prozesskette in der Implantatprothetik. *Implantologie*, 2011.19(3): p. 263–271.
- [5] Frankenberger, R., et al., Chairside vs. labside ceramic inlays: effect of temporary restoration ans adhesive luting on anamel cracks ans marginal intefrity. *Dent Mater*, 2011. 27(9): p. 892–8.
- [6] Mehl, A., A new concept fort he integration of dynamic occlusion in thet digital construction process. *Int J Comput Dent*, 2012. 15(2): p. 109–23.
- [7] Ender, A., ans A. Mehl, Full arch scans: conventional versus fabricated digital impressions – an in-vitro study. *Int J Comput Dent*, 2011. 14(1): p. 11n1.
- [8] Syrek, A., et al., Clinical evaluation off all-ceramic crowns fabricated from intraoral digital impressions based on the principle of active wavefront smapling. *J Dent*. 2010. 38(7): p. 553–9.
- [9] Scotti, R., et al., Clinical fitting of CAD/CAM zirconia single crowns generated from digital intraoral impressions based on active wavefront sampling. *J Dent*, 2011. epub.