

ZMK 9/2023 (39), 458-461

Ashish Soneji

Keramikrestaurationen: Einsatz von Retraktionspaste für detailgetreuen Scan

1. Acar Ö, Erkut S, Özçelik TB, Ozdemir E, Akçil M. A clinical comparison of cordless and conventional displacement systems regarding clinical performance and impression quality. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2014 May; 111(5): 388–394.
2. Birnbaum NS, Aaronson HB, Stevens C, Cohen B. 3D digital scanners: a high-tech approach to more accurate dental impressions. *Inside Dentistry.* 2009; 5(4): 70–74.
3. Gupta A, Prithviraj DR, Gupta D, Shruti DP. Clinical evaluation of three new gingival retraction systems: a research report. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc.* 2013 Mar; 13(1): 36–42.
4. Kostić I, Najman S, Kostić M, Stojanović S. Comparative review of gingival retraction agents. *Acta medica Medianae.* 2012; 51(1): 81–84.
5. Nouri S, Sharif MR, Panahi Y, Ghanei M, Jamali B. Efficacy and safety of aluminum chloride in controlling external hemorrhage: an animal model study. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2015 Mar 20; 17(3): e19714.
6. Phatale S, Marawar PP, Byakod G, Lagdive SB, Kalburge JV. Effect of retraction materials on gingival health: A histopathological study. *J Indian Soc Periodontol.* 2010 Jan; 14(1): 35–39.
7. Prasad KD, Hegde C, Agrawal G, Shetty M. Gingival displacement in prosthodontics: A critical review of existing methods. *J Interdisciplinary Dent.* 2011; 1(2), 80–86.
8. Qureshi SM, Anasane NS, Kakade D. Comparative evaluation of the amount of gingival displacement using three recent gingival retraction systems - in vivo study. *Contemp Clin Dent.* 2020 Jan–Mar; 11(1): 28–33.
9. Ting-Shu S, Jian S. Intraoral digital impression technique: a review. *J Prosthodont.* 2015 Jun; 24(4): 313–321.